No.



9400177

### THE UNITED STRATES OF ANTERIOR

TO ALL TO WHOM THESE; PRESENTS SHALL COME;

Hennsylvania Agricultural Experiment Station Hennsylvania State University TAkereas, theke has been presented to the

### Secretary of Agriculture

AN APPLICATION REQUESTING A CERTIFICATE OF PROTECTION FOR AN ALLEGED NOVEL VARIETY OF SEXUALLY REPRODUCED PLANT, THE NAME AND DESCRIPTION OF WHICH ARE CONTAINED IN THE APPLICATION AND EXHIBITS, A COPY OF WHICH IS HEREUNTO ANNEXED AND MADE A PART HEREOF, AND THE VARIOUS REQUIREMENTS OF LAW IN SUCH CASES MADE AND PROVIDED HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH, AND THE TITLE THERETO IS, FROM THE RECORDS OF THE PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE, IN THE APPLICANT(S) INDICATED IN THE SAID COPY, AND WHEREAS, UPON DUE EXAMINATION MADE, THE SAID APPLICANT(S) IS (ARE) ADJUDGED TO BE ENTITLED TO A CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION UNDER THE LAW.

NOW, THEREFORE, THIS CERTIFICATE OF PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION IS TO GRANT UNTO THE SAID APPLICANT(S) AND THE SUCCESSORS, HEIRS OR ASSIGNS OF THE SAID APPLICANT(S) FOR THE TERM OF eighteen years from the date of this grant, subject to the payment of the required fees and periodic replenishment of viable basic seed of the variety in a public repository as provided by LAW, the right to exclude others from selling the variety, or offering it for sale, or reproducing it, or importing it, or exporting it, or using it in producing a hybrid or different riety therefrom, to the extent provided by the Plant Variety Protection Act.

UNITED STATES SEED OF THIS VARIETY (1) SHALL BE SOLD BY VARIETY NAME ONLY AS OF CERTIFIED SEED AND (2) SHALL CONFORM TO THE NUMBER OF GENERATIONS BY THE OWNER OF THE RIGHTS. (84 STAT. 1542, AS AMENDED, 7 U.S.C. 2321 ET SEQ.)

SOFT RED WINTER WHEAT

'Pennmore'

In Lestimony Witherrot, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the Plant Variety Protection Office to be affixed at the City of Washington, D.C.

this 30th day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and ninety-six.

Allest

Marsha U. Strato Commissioner

Plant Variety Protection Office Agricultural Marketing Service Mandeling of Agriculture

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 30 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Department of Agriculture, Clearance Office, OIRM, Room 404-W, Washington, D.C. 20250; and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (OMB #0581-0055), Washington, 20250.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRI AGRICULTURAL MARKETING	CULTURE SERVICE		Application is required in order to
APPLICATION FOR PLANT VARIETY (Instructions on rev		N CERTIFICATE	determine if a plant variety protection certificate is to be issued (7 U.S.C. 2421). Information is held confidential until certificate is issued (7 U.S.C. 2426).
NAME OF APPLICANT(S) (as it is to appear on the Certificate)		2. TEMPORARY DESIGNATION OR EXPERIMENTAL NO.	3. VARIETY NAME
Pennsylvania Agricultural Experiment St Pennsylvania State University	ation	PA8457-1	Pennmore
4. ADDRESS (street and no. or R.F.D. no., city, state, and ZIP)		5. PHONE (Include area code)	FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Rm, 229 Agricultural Administration Bld University Park, PA 16802	g	814-865-5410	9400177
			F Date 18 1991
6. GENUS AND SPECIES NAME Triticum aestivum L.	FAMILY NAME (Botar Gramineae	ical)	I Time A.M. P.M.
8. CROP KIND NAME (Common Name) Soft red winter wheat	9.	DATE OF DETERMINATION March 2, 1992	F Filing and Examination Fee:  E \$ 2,325.00
10. IF THE APPLICANT NAMED IS NOT A "PERSON," GIVE FORM OF ORGANIZA	TION (Corporation, pa	rtnership, association, etc.)	R May 16, 1994
Land-Grant University			C Certificate Fee:
11. IF INCORPORATED, GIVE STATE OF INCORPORATION	· 12. D	ATE OF INCORPORATION	
13. NAME AND ADDRESS OF APPLICANT REPRESENTATIVE(S), IF ANY, TO SEE C. R. Krueger, Assoc. Dean Research Rm. 229 Agricultural Administration Blo University Park, PA 16802		PHONE (Include area c	<sub>ode):</sub> (814) 865-5410
14. CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX FOR EACH ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED (Follow II	NSTRUCTIONS on reve		;
a. X Exhibit A, Origin and Breeding History of the Variety.			
b. X Exhibit B, Novelty Statement.			
c. X Exhibit C, Objective Description of Variety.		•	
<ul> <li>d.</li></ul>			·
Seed Sample (2,500 viable untreated seeds). Date Seed San	nnlo mailed to Plant	Varioty Protoction Office	•
g. X Filing and Examination Fee (\$2,150) made payable to "Treas			·
15. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT SEED OF THIS VARIETY BE SOLD B			See section 83(a) of the Plant Variety
Protection Act.)  YES (If "YES," answer items 16 and 17 below)		NO," skip to item 18 below)	
16. DOES THE APPLICANT(S) SPECIFY THAT THIS VARIETY BE LIMITED AS TO NUMBER OF GENERATIONS?	17. IF "YES"	TO ITEM 16, WHICH CLASSES OF PROD	DUCTION BEYOND BREEDER SEED?
X YES NO	∑ Fo	UNDATION REGIS	STERED X CERTIFIED
18. DID THE APPLICANT(S) PREVIOUSLY FILE FOR PROTECTION OF THE VARIET	Y IN THE U.S.?		
YES (If "YES," through Plant Variety Protection Act  X NO	Patent Act. Give d		
19. HAS THE VARIETY BEEN RELEASED, USED, OFFERED FOR SALE, OR MARK	ETED IN THE U.S. OR	OTHER COUNTRIES?	
YES (If "YES," give names of countries and dates)  X NO		٠	
20. The applicant(s) declare(s) that a viable sample of basic seeds request in accordance with such regulations as may be applicab	of this variety wil	l be furnished with the applicat	tion and will be replenished upon
The undersigned applicant(s) is (are) the owner(s) of this sex uniform, and stable as required in section 41, and is entitled to	protection under t	he provisions of section 42 of the	ve(s) that the variety is distinct, Plant Variety Protection Act.
Applicant(s) is (are) informed that false representation herein of Signature OF APPLICANT [Owner(s)]	CAPACITY OR		DATE
Cheletin	Associa	te Dean for Researc	h 5/13/94
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT [Owner(s)]	CAPACITY OR	TITLE	DATE
	1 -		

9400177

#### Exhibit A

### Origin and Breeding History of Pennmore Soft Red Winter Wheat

Pedigree: Abe/3/Doublecrop//Abe/VA68-24-42

The bulk breeding method was used to develop Pennmore soft red winter wheat. The initial selection was made in the F5 generation. Pennmore was derived from row 8156-1178 in the 1981 Headrow Nursery at the Pennsylvania State University. Selection criteria were high grain yield, high bushel weight, disease resistance (specifically powdery mildew and leaf rust) and high grain quality. Although the initial selection was resistant to powdery mildew, the races present in Pennsylvania changed and Pennmore was rated as moderately susceptible at time of release. Pennmore is still moderately resistant to leaf rust.

1982-1984	Seeds from row 8156-1178 were grown in bulk with slight reselection (F6-F8). About 200 typical heads were collected in 1984.
1986	One hundred twenty headrows were grown in Expt. 8667 and 70 were retained for advance (F9 generation).
1988	Progeny of the 70 selections retained in 1986 were grown in small plots (3' x 12'). Those with some taller variants were eliminated and 30 selections were retained for advance ( $F_{10}$ generation).
1989	Progeny of the 30 selections retained in 1988 were grown in small plots (3' x 48'). All selections were retained (F <sub>11</sub> generation).
1990	Progeny of 30 selections retained in 1989 were grown in large plots (3' x 120'). All selections were retained (F <sub>12</sub> generation).
1991	Breeder seed was produced from a bulk seed lot from the 1990 seed crop (F <sub>13</sub> generation).

Pennmore soft red winter wheat is uniform and stable within commercially acceptable limits. Occasional variants that are slightly taller appear but otherwise are typical of the variety. Frequency of variants is less than one half of one percent. Pennmore can be maintained and reproduced through seed without changing its characteristics.

#### Exhibit B

### **Novelty Statement**

Pennmore is a soft red winter wheat and spikes tend to be apically awnleted. It somewhat resembles the Tyler wheat variety in the field. Differences include, but are not necessarily restricted to, the following:

Tyler in work similar - AAA 5 Jan 1995 public

Pennmore compared to Tyler:

- 1. Heading date for Pennmore is 1 to 2 days later than that of Tyler.
- 2. Pennmore is more resistant to leaf rust (Table 3).
- 3. Pennmore has less scab (Table 3).
- 4. The phenol reaction of Pennmore is dark brown, whereas that of Tyler is light brown.
- 5. Pennmore has shorter awnlets on the spike (length of awns is 10 to 26 mm; awns of Tyler are 20 to 40 mm long).
- 6. Spikes of Pennmore are oblong, mid-dense, and awnleted; spikes of Tyler are fusiform to occasionally oblong, mid-dense, and awnleted.
- 7. Kernels of Pennmore have a short noncollared brush, whereas those of Tyler have a long, large brush.

Exhibit-B

Table 1. Performance of Pennmore in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, during 1987-1992.

GRAIN	N YIELD (bu/	(A)		,			
				Year			
<u>Variety</u>	<u>1987</u>	<u>1988</u>	<u>1989</u>	<u>1990</u>	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>Average</u>
Pennmore Tyler	92 75	107 91	57 52	90 80	101 84	111 101	93.0 80.5
LSD (.05)	8	11	10	9	10	12	
BUSH	EL WEIGHT	`(lb/bu)					
Pennmore	57.2	58.9	51.3	56.7	55.7	57.7	56.3
Tyler	54.2	55.3	48.0	53.6	52.8	54.9	53.1
LSD (.05)	0.7	1.6	2.7	0.5	1.2	1.1	
PLAN	T HEIGHT (i	n)					
Pennmore Tyler	41 40	46 44	44 43	42 43	41 40	48 47	43.7 42.8
LSD (.05)	2	3	2	1	2	2	
LODG	ING (%)						
Pennmore Tyler	0 4	14 5	29 15	0	0	28 3	11.8 4.5
LSD (.05)	5	27	29	<del></del>	<del>_</del>	24	

### Exhibit-B

Table 2. Performance of Pennmore in Centre County, Pennsylvania, during 1987-1992.

GRAI	N YIELD (bu/	A)					
			-	Year			
<u>Variety</u>	<u>1987</u>	<u>1988</u>	<u>1989</u>	1990	<u>1991</u>	1992	Average
Pennmore Tyler	77 92	98 109	60 59	91 91	71 66	91 95	81.3 85.3
LSD (.05)	7	10	5	9	7	10	
BUSH	IEL WEIGHT	(lb/bu)					
Pennmore Tyler	58.7 58.3	60.9 59.5	52.3 51.6	58.5 56.1	60.6 59.0	61.2 60.0	58.7 57.4
LSD (.05)	1.6	0.6	1.2	0.5	0.6	0.6	
PLAN	T HEIGHT (i	n)					
Pennmore Tyler	40 40	42 43	40 41	41 42	35 37	41 41	39.8 40.7
LSD (.05)	2	2	1	2	2	1	
LODO	GING (%)			·			
Pennmore Tyler	0	0	5 5	0	0	0	1 1
LSD (.05)	<del>-</del>	<del></del>	25	<del>-</del>	_		

### Exhibit-B

Table 3. Disease reaction of Pennmore observed in the field in Centre and Lancaster Counties. Data are for years in which significant disease levels were present for recording.

LEAF RUST Variety	Centre 1990			1988	ter County 1994 %	
Pennmore Tyler LSD (.05)	15	0.4 10.9 1.2		1 56 12	2 45 6	
POWDERY MILDEW (C	Centre Coun	ty)				
			1990 %			
Pennmore Tyler LSD (.05)		7 11 3		9 10 4		
SCAB (Centre County)	1989 (%)					
Pennmore Tyler LSD (.05)	0.8 3.5 2.5					

EXHIBIT C

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE LIVESTOCK AND SEED DIVISION BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

### OBJECTIVE DESCRIPTION OF VARIETY

INSTRUCTIONS: See Reverse. WHEAT (TE	RITICUM SPP.)
HAME OF APPLICANTIE Pennsylvania Agric. Exnt.	Station FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY
Pennsylvania State Univers	9400177
Rm. 229 Agricultural Administration Bldg.	VARIETY NAME OR TEMPORARY
University Park, PA 16802	Pennmore
511.76.376y 741.1K; 777 23302	T Girmior C
Place the appropriate number that describes the varietal charact Place a zero in first box (e-s. 0 8 7 or 0 9 ) when number	er of this variety in the boxes below. r is either 99 or less or 9 or less.
1. KIHD:	
1 1 = COMMON 2 = DURUM 3 = EMMER 4 = SPELT	S = POLISH 6 = POULARO 7 = CLUB
2. TYPE,	1 = SOFT 3 = OTHER (Specify)
2 1 = SPRING 2 = WINTER 3 = OTHER (Specity)	_ 1 2 = HARO
2 1 = WHITE 2 = RED 3 = OTHER (Specify)	·
3. SEASOH - HUMBER OF DAYS FROM EMERGENCE TO:	
FIRST FLOWERING	LAST FLOWERING
4. MATURITY (50% Flowering):	T. J. Fillman Badasat
0 2 NO. OF DAYS EARLIER THAN	Tyler Fillmore Redcoat
0 0 NO. OF DAYS LATER THAN	. 1 4= [v]er 5 = Fillmere 6 = Redespat
5. PLANT HEIGHT (From soil level to top at head):	•
1 0 7 см. нісн	
0 0 cm. TALLER THAN	. Tyler 2 = Fillwore 3 = Redsoat
1 0 CM. SHORTER THAN	1 = Tyler 2 = schoppore 3 = Englished  3
6. PLANT COLOR AT BOOTING (See reverse):	7. ANTHER COLOR:
2 1 = YELLOW GREEN 2 = GREEN 3 = BLUE GREEN	1 1 = YELLOW 2 = PURPLE
S. STEM:	
1 Anthocyanin: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Vary bloom: I = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
Hairiness of last internode of rachis: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Internodes: 1 = HOLLOW 2 = SOLID
0 3 NO. OF NODES (Originating from node above ground)	2 0 CM. INTERNODE LENGTH BETWEEN FLAG LEAF AND LEAF BELOW
9. AURICLES:	
2 Anthocyania: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	1 Hairiness:   = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
O. LEAF:	
Flag leaf at 1 = ERECT 2 = RECURVED booting stage: 3 = OTHER (Specify):	1 Flag leaf: 1 = NOT TWISTED 2 = TWISTED
1 Hairs of first leaf sheath:   = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT	2 Vaxy bloom of flag leaf sheath: 1 = ABSENT 2 = PRESENT
HH. LEAF WIOTH (First leaf below (lag leaf)	CM. LEAF LENGTH (First leaf below fine leaf):
ORM   MGS 230.6 (8.82) (Formerly Form   PGS 470.6 (3-79) which in	ney be used)

AAA 20 Apr 1995 per letter

	<del></del>	<del></del>	<del></del>
Density: 1 = LAX	7 = DENSE		RING 2=STRAP 3=CLAVATE R(Specify) Oblong
2 Awnedness: 1 = Av	HILESS 2 = APICALLY AWNLETED	3 = AWNLETED 4 = AWN	£0
Color at majurity: 5	= white 2 = YELLOW 3 = PINK 4 = BROWN 6 = BLACK 7 = OTHE	= RED ER (Specily):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1 4 CM. LENGTH		0 8 мм. width	
12. GLUMES AT MATUR  3 Length: 1 = SHORT  3 = LONG	_	3 Width:   = NARRO	
Shoulder 1 = WANT shape: 4 = SQUA		1 Beak: 1≅ oerusi	2 = ACUTE 3 = ACUMINATE
13. COLEOPTILE COLOR	l:	14. SEEDLING ANTHOC	YANIN:
1 1 = WHITE 2 = R	· ·		2 = PRESENT
15. JUYENILE PLANT GR	OVTH HABIT:		
2 1 = PROSTRATE	2 = SEMI-ERECT 3 = EREC	er .	
16. SEED:			
[3]	2 = OVAL 3 = ELLIPTICAL	1 Cheek: 1 = ROUNC	ED 2 = ANGULAR
Brush: 1 = SHORT	2 = MEDIUM 3 = LONG	1 Визь: 1 = нот с	OLLARED 2 = COLLARED
Phenol resction (See instructions):	1 = IVORY 2 = FAWN 3 = LT. BROW 4 = BROWN 5 = BLACK	N	
2 6.1 1 - 1 - 1	2-wee lengt despets	S = OTHER (Specify)	
3 Color: 1 = WHITE		3 = OTHER (absent)	
0 4 MM. LENGTH	AA 0 7 MM. WIOTH	3 5 GM. PER 1000	SEEDS
17. SEED CREASE:			•
Width:   = 60% OR L	ESS OF KERNEL 'WINOKA'		R LESS OF KERNEL 'SCOUT'
2 = 80 % OR LI	ESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'		LESS OF KERNEL 'CHRIS'
3 = NEARLY	S WIDE AS KERNEL 'LEMHI'	3 = 50 x O f	LESS OF KERNEL 'LEMH!'
18. DISEASE: (0 = Not Tax	ed, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		•
2 STEM RUST	2 LEAF RUST	STRIPE RUST	0 LOOSE SMUT
1 POWDERY MILDEW	ס פעאד	OTHER (Specify)	
19. INSECT: (0 = Not Teste	d, 1 = Susceptible, 2 = Resistant)		
0 SAWFLY	O APHID (Bydv.)	GREEN BUG	O CEREAL LEAF BEETLE
OTHER (Specify)	HESSIAN FLY	0 ap - 0 A	<u> </u>
	RACES:	00 0 0	0 F 0 G
O. INDICATE WHICH YARIE	TY MOST CLOSELY RESEMBLES THAT SI	JBMITTED:	
CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY	CHARACTER	NAME OF VARIETY
Plant tillering	Tvler	Seed size	Titan
Leaf size	Tyler	Seed shape i	Titan
Leaf color	Tyler	Coleoptile elongation	•
Leaf carriage		Seedling pigmentation	
	INCOPIU	TIONE	

GENERAL: The following publications may be used as a reference aid for the standardization of terms and procedures for completing this form:

- (a) L.W. Briggle and L. P. Reitz. 1963. Classification of Triticum Species and Wheat Varieties Grown in the United States. Technical Bulletin 1278, United States Department of Agriculture.
- (b) W.E. Walls, 1965, A Standardized Phonol Method for Testing Wheat Seeds for Varietal Purity, contribution No. 28 to the handbook of seed testing prepared by the Association of Official Seed Analysts. (See attachment.)

### PAGE 1

### ADVANCED NURSERY EVALUATION

## FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING GUALITY 1990 CROP

DR. M.L. RISIUS UNIVERSITY PARK. PA. CENTRE CO. 1987

\$70 = \$781, SCOTTY

но. ГУ8	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	8AKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	MICRO T.W. KG/HL	•	FLOOR YIELD	FLOUR PROT.	MICRO AWRC	COGKIE DIAM.	
****	STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	19.2	47.9	78.5	9.81	52.1	17.7	5
****	BENCHMARK	98.1 a	105.9 A	99.1 8	18.8	61.4	75.1 *	1.37	\$2.9	13.2	7
730 2751-1	SALUDA	95.9 B	97.9 8	95.9 B	81.1	57.3	75.1 *	8.93	58 Q	17.9	2
781 3751-3	SCOTTY	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	79.2	47.9	16.5	9.81	52.7	17.7	5
762 3751-4	REDCOAT	97.4 8	88.2 D	88.2 0	81.3	50.2	74.9 *	10.18	53.8	17.2 0	3
783 8751-13	TYLER	97.6 8	92.0 C	92.0 C	18.2 *	56.0	76.0	7.83	55.4 1	17.8	4
764 8751-15	PA8457-1	100.0 A	98.3 8	98.3 B	80.7	53.5	76.3	8.88	\$5.3 *	17.9	5
785 9751-19	PA8457-9	94.3 C	100.9 A	94.3 €	78.3 0	57.6	74.5 #	8.99	54.8 *	17.9	4

### PAGE 1

### ADVANCED NURSERY EVALUATION

### FOR SOFT WHEAT WILLING AND BAKING QUALITY 1990 CROP

DR. W.L. RISIUS UNIVERSITY PARK, PA. LANCASTER CO. 1987

STO = \$767, SCOTTY

LAB NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCGRE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	MICRO T.W. KG/HL	SOFT. EQUIV	FLOUR YIELD	FLOUR PROT.	MICRO AWRC	COOKIE DIAM,	
****	STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.3	50.3	76.3	11.22	52.3	17.5	3
****	BENCHMARK	100.9 A	105.3 A	100.8 A	18.6	61.4	75.1 *	1.87	52.9	18.2	7
758 3751-	-1 SALUDA	96.3 B	77.9 F	77.9 F	75.0	61.3	75.3	9.95	59.1 (	17.3 *	2
787 3761-	3 SCOTTY	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.3	50.3	78.3	11.22	52.3	17.5	3
763 3761-	4 REGCOAT	98.7 B	87.4 0	87.4 D	73.0	55.5	75.8	10.59	52.7	18.9 Û	4
769 3761-	15 PA8457-1	98.4 8	98.8 3	96.8 8	76.9	55.9	75.4	10.40	53.5	17.4	3
747 C 8761-	-19 PA8457-9	92.7 €	100.5 A	92.7 C	72.2 0	60.0	74.7	10.41	54.8	f 17.8	2

### ADVANCED NURSERY EVALUATION

94/00177

### FOR SOFT WHEAT WILLING AND BAKING QUALITY 1990 CROP

DR. M.L. RISIUS UNIVERSITY PARK. PA. CENTRE CO. 1988

STO = \$744, SCOTTY

LAB NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING GUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	MICRO T.W. KG/HL	SOFT. EQUIV.	FLOUR YIELD	FLOUR PROT.	MICRO AWRC	COOKIE DIAN.	
***	STANDARD	100.9 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	80.6	42.3	75.2	9.42	54.1	17.5	5
***	BENCHMARK	102.1 A	110.9 A	102.1 A	78.6 9	81.4	75.1	7.87	52.9	18.2	7
742 895	1-1 SALUDA	96 4 3	89.5 0	89.5 0	83.3	51.8	73.9 *	9.01	59.9 0	17.8	3
743 8851		105.7 A	100.3 A	100.3 A	81.7	49.8	78.7	8.33	55.3 \$		3
744 835	1-3 SCOTTY	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	80.6	42.3	75.2	9.42	54.1	17.5	5
745 8851	-4 REDCOAT	93.5 8	89.0 0	89.00	32.2	46.0	74.5	10.30 *	\$5.2	17 ¥	<u>L</u>
146 885	1-5 TITAN	94.3 €	99.2 8	94.3 C	80.2	58.9	73.7	8.80	54.7	17.4	3
747 8851	-13 TYLER	103.7 A	100.3 A	100.5 A	80.3	49.9	15.3	8.06	58.4 ×	17.8	5
748 885	1-15 PA8457-1	103.6 A	101.9 A	101.9 A	82.9	48.0	74.9	8.89	56.2 \$	17.8	5
743 8851	-17 PA8766-3	97.2 3	108.0 A	97.2 8	82.0	48.2	73.3 *	9,23	53.3	18	5
750 885	1-18 PA8786-4	185.1 A	110.0 3	185.1 A	81.9	48.5	75.4	8.59	53.1	17.7	5

### PAGE 1

### ADVANCED NURSERY EVALUATION

### FOR SOFT WHEAT HILLING AND BAKING GUALITY 1990 CROP

DR. M.L. RISIUS UNIVERSITY PARK, PA. LANCASTER CO. 1988

STD = \$753, SCOTTY

LAB NG.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	8AKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	MICRO T.W. KS/HL	SOFT. EQUIV.	FLOUR YIELD	FLOUR PROT.	MICRO AWRC	COOKIE DIAN.	
****	STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	78.5	47.5	76.5	9.32	53.3	18	5
EXTE	BENCHHARK	98.7 B	107.3 A	98.7 8	78.6	61.4	75.i *	1.37	32.3	13.2	7
751 886	1-1 SALUDA	97.8 â	78.1 F	78.1 F	82.0	55.2	75.4	8.91	56.3 (	17.3 \$	2
752 8961	-2 FILLHORE	99.4 3	90.7 C	90.7 0	79.3	53.5	75.2	9.28	54	17.8 *	ð
753 836	i-3 SCOTTY	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.9 A	78.5	47.5	76.3	3.32	53.3	18	5
754 3881	-4 REDCOAT	102.7 A	74.5 F	74.5 F	80.3	50.3	78.0	10.51 *	54.5	17 9	ì
<b>2</b> 55 336	1-5 TITAN	91.1 0	72.4 F	72.5 F	13.2	54.2	74.1 0	9.34	54.6	15.9 2	3
756 8831	-13 TYLER	100.8 A	81.2 E	81.2 E	77.1 *	55.4	76.0	8.63	53.9	17.2 @	5
757 8861	1-15 PA8457-1	97.8 B	75.0 F	75.0 F	80.2	48.9	75.2 \$	11.35 0	55.4	F 17.1 Q	3
758 8861	-17 PA8766-3	97.3 8	87.6 0	87.8 0	80.2	51.1	74.5 *	9.24	53.1	17.4 Q	4
759 8861	I-18 PA8766-4	100.2 A	103,3 A	100.2 A	78.4	54.3	75.8	8.49	52.4	18	4

# EARLY GENERATION SCREENING EVALUATION FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING QUALITIES 1992 CROP

DR. M.L. RISIUS
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA.
STATE WHEAT VARIETY TRIAL (CENTRE CO.) 9151

STANDARD = #4114, TYLER

SAMPLE NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	ADJ. Yield	PROTEIN %	AWRC %	SOFT- NESS EQUIV.
*	STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.82	7.70	55.2	49.51
*	BENCHMARK	97.6 B	99.7 B	97.6 B	74.07	8.50 *	52.0	57.74
4101	1 SALUDA 2 FILLMORE 3 SCOTTY 4 REDCOAT 5 FL 302	98.4 8	103.9 A	98.4 B	74.32	7.69	56.5	50.82
4102		99.7 8	99.2 B	99.2 B	74.72	8.67 *	55.5	48.52
4103		100.6 A	90.6 C	90.6 C	75.01	8.97 *	53.4	44.72 *
4104		98.1 B	101.8 A	98.1 B	74.25	9.30 Q	55.6	50.36
4105		100.3 A	103.5 A	100.3 A	74.92	8.40	55.0	52.31
4106	6 SUSQUEHANNA	97.6 B	103.4 A	97.6 B	74.08	9.22 9	55.6	51.52
4107	7 2555	101.1 A	104.7 A	101.1 A	75.18	7.43	55.0	55.83
4108	8 2548	93.4 C	103.1 A	93.4 C	72.77 Q	7.88	58.7 *	48.14
4109	9 PA8457-1	98.6 B	104.8 A	98.6 B	74.39	8.34	58.2 *	49.41
4110	10 DYNASTY	100.6 A	105.2 A	100.6 A	75.00	8.21	55.3	56.07
4111	11 CLARK	96.1 B	105.9 A	96.1 B	73.61 *	8.64 *	56.1	52.81
4112	12 HOFFMAN BRAND 28	102.9 A	102.2 A	102.2 A	75.73	7.75	55.6	50.64
4113	13 HOFFMAN BRAND 45	101.9 A	99.2 B	99.2 B	75.41	8.55 *	57.5	46.14 *
4114	14 TYLER	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.82	7.70	55.2	49.51
4115	15 HOFFMAN BRAND 89	100.5 A	92.4 C	92.4 C	74.96	8.32	56.6	42.19 Q
4116	16 CHEROKEE	98.5 8	99.0 B	98.5 B	74.35	8.17	56.6	47.06
4117	17 MADISON	105.5 A	97.7 B	97.7 B	76.54	8.68 *	53.6	49.77
4118	18 WAKEFIELD	99.8 8	101.3 A	99.8 B	74.77	8.29	55.3	50.36
4119	19 ABI 85-5941	103.2 A	99.2 B	99.2 B	75.80	8.70 *	54.1	50.29
4120	20 ABI 85-81	99.9 B	103.2 A	99.9 B	74.78	7.91	56.6	50.14
4121	21 SR-82	94.9 B	96.4 B	94.9 B	73.25 *	7.94	57.3	44.29 * 43.82 Q 50.07 50.91 50.32
4122	22 W-109	101.7 A	93.5 C	93.5 C	75.34	8.33	55.9	
4123	23 SR-87	97.4 B	100.6 A	97.4 B	74.02	8.78 *	55.1	
4124	24 SR-202	106.3 A	101.9 A	101.9 A	76.76	8.81 *	55.2	
4125	25 SR-203	100.3 A	100.8 A	100.3 A	74.90	7.33	55.0	
4126	26 PA44	98.7 B	104.9 A	98.7 B	74.42	8.10	58.1 *	49.62
4127	27 PA32	103.2 A	99.6 B	99.6 B	75.81	8.33	54.7	49.84
4128	28 PA30	100.3 A	102.8 A	100.3 A	74.93	7.72	56.4	50.09
4129	29 PA29	97.7 B	104.0 A	97.7 B	74.10	8.80 *	56.1	51.35
4130	30 PA37	100.0 A	104.9 A	100.0 A	74.82	8.21	56.3	51.82

# EARLY GENERATION SCREENING EVALUATION FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING QUALITIES 1992 CROP

DR. M.L. RISIUS
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA.
STATE WHEAT VARIETY TRIAL (LANCASTER CO.) 9161

STANDARD = #4144, TYLER

•								
SAMPLE NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	ADJ. Yield	PROTEIN %	AWRC %	SOFT- NESS EQUIV.
*	STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.34	9.68	55.5	58.85
*	BENCHMARK	99.1 B	93.0 C	93.0 C	74.07	8.50	52.0	57.74
4131	1 SALUDA	97.6 B	91.6 C	91.6 C	73.61	9.70	53.7	54.10 *
4132	2 FILLMORE	101.3 A	96.1.8	96.1 B	74.74	10.94 *	55.5	55.46 *
4133	3 SCOTTY	104.5 A	87.1 D	87.1 D	75.73	11.35 *	52.0	52.55 *
4134	4 REDCOAT	99.0 8	91.3 C	91.3 C	74.03	11.50 *	55.1	51.79 0
4135	5 FL 302	103.0 A	94.6 C	94.6 C	75.26	11.24 *	52.4	58.56
4136	6 SUSQUEHANNA	99.5 8	96.2 B	96.2 B	74.17	11.74 Q	55.2	55.91
4137	7 2555	101.1 A	100.6 A	100.6 A	74.66	10.65 *	53.4	62.41
4138	8 2548	95.8 B	97.4 В	95.8 B	73.03 *	9.70	55.8	56.10
4139	9 PA8457-1	100.0 A	96.6 B	96.6 B	74.34	9.95	56.2	54.89 *
4140	10 DYNASTY	103.7 A	102.4 A	102.4 A	75.48	10.02	55.1	61.56
4141	11 CLARK	93.6 C	98.8 B	93.6 C	72.38 Q	10.91 *	54.4	59.42
4142	12 HOFFMAN BRAND 28	103.7 A	91.1 C	91.1 C	75.46	10.62	56.8	49.15 Q
4143	13 HOFFMAN BRAND 45	100.8 A	91.1 C	91.1 C	74.59	11.19 *	56.3	49.90 Q
4144 4145	14 TYLER	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.34	9.68	55.5	58.85
4143	15 HOFFMAN BRAND 89	107.5 A	82.5 E	82.5 E	76.64	10.82 *	54.6	44.78 Q
4146	16 CHEROKEE	98.0 B	85.8 D	85.8 D	73.71	11.60 Q	52.7	50.37 Q
4147	17 MADISON	103.5 A	92.5 C	92.5 C	75.42	11.04 *	51.4	58.18
4148	18 WAKEFIELD	99.9 A	95.3 B	95.3 B	74.31	11.00 *	54.5	56.20
4149	19 ABI 85-5941	100.1 A	92.9 C	92.9 C	74.38	11.15 *	53.4	55.67 *
4150	20 ABI 85-81	97.1 B	93.5 C	93.5 C	73.44 *	10.83 *	52.7	57.19
4151	21 SR-82	96.5 B	97.0 B	96.5 B	73.25 *	11.04 *	54.6	57.47
4152	22 W-109	103.0 A	81.6 E	81.6 E	75.26	11.53 *	54.2	44.53 Q
4153	23 SR-87	102.0 A	100.2 A	100.2 A	74.96	11.07 *	54.2	60.93
4154	24 SR-202	104.6 A	91.7 C	91.7 C	75.74	10.79 *	53.1	55.04 *
4155	25 SR-203	100.6 A	94.8 C	94.8 C	74.52	9.94	53.1	57.70
4156	26 PA44	98.9 B	95.1 B	95.1 B	73.99	11.84 Q	55.5	54.58 *
4157	27 PA32	104.8 A	92.6 C	92.6 C	75.81	10.36	51.8	57.64
4158	28 PA30	103.7 A	86.4 D	86.4 D	75.47	11.80 Q	51.9	52.10 Q
4159	29 PA29	97.8 B	95.4 B	95.4 B	73.65	11.65 Q	55.5	54.83 *
4160	30 PA37	103.3 A	92.7 C	92.7 C	75.36	11.19 *	53.5	55.33 *

### EARLY GENERATION SCREENING EVALUATION

### FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING QUALITIES

1992 CROP

DR. M.L. RISIUS
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA.
CENTRE CO. STATE VARIETY TEST (9251)

STANDARD = #3730, DYNASTY

SAMPLE NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	ADJ. YIELD	PROTEIN %	AURC %	SOFT- NESS EQUIV.
*	STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.59	9.43	57.2	51.53
. *	BENCHMARK	98.3 B	110.0 A	98.3 8	74.07	8.50	52.0	57.74
3721 C-1	Saluda	101.1 A	98.7 B	98.7 8	74.94	8.92	58.6	52.27
3722 C-2	Fillmore	105.1 A	99.3 B	99.3 B	76.17	8.45	56.8	50.54
3723 C-3	Scotty	103.0 A	93.8 C	93.8 C	75.51	10.30	55.5	44.69 Q
3724 C-4	Redcoat	94.8 C	95.6 B	94.8 C	72.99 *	9.33	58.8	50.10
3725 C-5	FL 302	103.1 A	105.1 A	103.1 A	75.55	9.60	55.1	52.87
3726 C-6	Susquehanna	100.3 A	99.8 B	99.8 B	74.70	9.47	57.1	51.22
3727 C-7	2545	94.1 C	98.5 B	94.1 C	72.76 Q	8.60	56.3	49.25
3728 C-8	2548	95.8 B	93.1 C	93.1 ¢	73.30 *	8.43	61.5 *	51.48
3729 C-9	Pennmore	97.5 B	95.1 8	95.1 B	73.82	9.45	57.5	48.14 *
3730 C-10	Dynasty	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.59	9.43	57.2	51.53
3731 C-11	2510	105.2 A	95.6 B	95.6 B	76.19	7.95	58.6	49.84
3732 C-12	Hoffman Brand 28	106.3 A	90.5 C	90.5 ¢	76.52	8.70	58.4	45.66 Q
3733 C-13	Noffman Brand 45	102.1 A	88.7 D	88.7 D	75.25	9.13	59.5	45.66 Q
3734 C-14	Tyler	97.9 B	98.1 B	97.9 B	73.95	8.99	56.5	49.22
3735 C-15	Hoffman Brand 89	104.4 A	85.6 D	85.6 D	75.95	9.70	57.3	40.59 Q
3736 C-16	Freedom	95.5 B	95.7 B	95.5 B	73.20 *	9.27	57.2	48.19 *
3737 C-17	Madison	102.0 A	97.1 B	97.1 B	75.20	9.74	55.9	47.73 *
3738 C-18	Wakefield	100.1 A	101.8 A	100.1 A	74.61	9.44	55.3	50.62
3739 C-19	Excel	98.5 B	105.2 A	98.5 B	74.13	8.94	55.8	53.82
3740 C-20	PA8465-32	95.9 B	100.6 A	95.9 B	73.32 *	9.91	54.3	48.42 *
3741 C-21	SR 87	98.4 B	93.5 C	93.5 C	74.09	9.90	58.2	47.77 *
3742 C-22	W109	102.4 A	86.6 D	86.6 D	75.34	9.60	57.7	41.84 Q
3743 C-23	Twain	96.2 B	94.9 C	94.9 C	73.43 *	9.21	57.1	47.48 *
3744 C-24	Lincoln	99.1 B	102.4 A	99.1 B	74.30	9.07	55.2	50.94
3745 C-25	Sawyer	98.4 B	100.6 A	98.4 B	74.10	9.45	54.8	49.10

#### EARLY GENERATION SCREENING EVALUATION

### FOR SOFT WHEAT MILLING AND BAKING QUALITIES

1992 CROP

DR. M.L. RISIUS
UNIVERSITY PARK, PA.
LANCASTER CO. STATE VARIETY TEST (9261)

STANDARD = #3755, DYNASTY

SAMPLE NO.	ENTRY	MILLING QUALITY SCORE	BAKING QUALITY SCORE	COMBINED QUALITY SCORE	ADJ.	PROTEIN	AWRC %	SOFT- NESS EQUIV.
*	STANDARD	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.87	9.48	54.2	57.39
*	BENCHMARK	97.4 B	104.1 A	97.4 B	74.07 *	8.50	52.0	57.74
3746 L-26	Saluda	95.2 8	93.0 C	93.0 C	73.38 *	9.86	56.7 *	, 54.95
3747 L-27	Fillmore	104.7 A	91.6 C	91.6 C	76.32	10.16	55.4	51.95 *
3748 L-28	Scotty	101.0 A	90.9 C	90.9 C	75.18	10.77 *	52.8	47.55 Q
3749 L-29	Redcoat	95.6 B	89.2 D	89.2 D	73.52 *	10.53 *	56.0	50.73 Q
3750 L-30	FL 302	105.5 A	94.2 C	94.2 C	76.56	8.87	55.6	54.40
3751 L-31	Susquehanna	102.5 A	95.6 B	95.6 B	75.65	9.53	55.1	54.95
3752 L-32	2545	95.4 8	95.3 B	95.3 B	73.46 *	9.00	55.8	55.67
3753 L-33	2548	95.2 B	89.7 D	89.7 D	73.40 *	9.57	57.5 *	53.32 *
3754 L-34	Pennmore	110_0 A	99.0 B	99.0 8	80.16	10.52 *	56.3	59.53
3755 L-35	Dynasty	100.0 A	100.0 A	100.0 A	74.87	9.48	54.2	57.39
3756 L-36	2510	104.9 A	90.3 C	90.3 C	76.40	9.36	56.1	51.84 *
3757 L-37	Hoffman Brand 28	105.8 A	88.4 D	88.4 D	76.66	9.13	56.0	50.04 Q
<b>3</b> 758 L-38	Hoffman Brand 45	104.2 A	84.7 E	84.7 E	76.18	10.47 *	56.3	47.28 Q
3759 L-39	Tyler	100.0 A	95.7 B	95.7 B	74.88	8.01	56.0	56.25
3760 L-40	Hoffman Brand 89	107.5 A	79.9 F	79.9 F	77.19	9.44	57.5 *	44.86 Q
3761 L-41	Freedom	98.9 B	91.5 C	91.5 C	74.54	8.85	55.4	51.81 *
3762 L-42	Madison	103.9 A	95.1 B	95.1 B	76.07	9.56	53.9	52.78 *
3763 L-43	Wakefield	100.0 A	94.8 C	94.8 C	74.87	10.02	54.5	53.32 *
3764 L-44	Excel	98.0 B	100.6 A	98.0 B	74.25	10.16	53.5	56.88
3765 L-45	PA8465-32	97.5 B	88.6 D	88.6 0	74.11	9.89	57.4 *	52.26 *
3766 L-46	SR 87	97.0 B	91.2 C	91.2 C	73.95 *	10.28	55.9	. 52.33 *
3767 L-47	W109	106.7 A	80.4 E	80.4 E	76.95	10.73 *	57.0 *	44.58 Q
3768 L-48	Twain	93.4 C	83.7 E	83.7 E	72.82 Q	10.70 *	56.5	46.69 Q
3769 L-49	Lincoln	100.1 A	96.6 B	96.6 B	74.92	9.38	54.7	55.16
3770 L-50	Sawyer	98.3 в	98.0 8	98.0 8	74.34	8.92	53.6	54.77

### Exhibit E

### Statement of Basis of Applicants Ownership

Pennmore soft red winter wheat was developed at The Pennsylvania State University by Dr.

Marvin L. Risius. By agreement, The Pennsylvania Agricultural Experiment Station seeks Plant

Variety Protection for the variety, Pennmore.